App.F.IX-4

1925.

File No. 65-25

Previous References
Drug 584-18 · 1-2

(Drugs-ai)

Serial Nos. 1–6

Later references.

Plug 38-Feb-1-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for June 1926

SUBJECT

Recommends that the Paipatheyar of the Sri Chamaspuravaiswamy temple may be given an increase of Rs 3/- as he did not get any increase in the recent revision.

G. O. No 1654-5
Dated 25-6-26

To the Drug-Comr
Comptr
Coptn & Hunzr Secy. 6th H.

Submitted

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No. 65-28

FILE HEADING.

Enhancement of pay of the Parupattegar of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar -

From

The Mug: Commr: in Mysore

No. C. 178 of 25-6 Dated 28-8-25 Received 31/8/25

Diary No. 28	Enclos. 2 & - Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the parupattegar of the above temple who is getting a pay of Rs 12/- p.m, and who got no increase at the last revision of the temple scale in July 1919, may be given an increase of Rs 3/- the charge being met from the savings effected by the death of one Parvatamma.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The temple scale was revised in Cy. No. 231-33/Mug 585-18 & 2 of 17-7-19. The designation of Sheristedar on a pay of Rs 12/ was changed into that of Parupattegar on the same pay and he was made directly responsible for the regular

NOTES—First Sheet.

D 781 2

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 1 of 192

Serial No

conduct of services. At the same time, the posts of 2 orderlies out of 6, were abolished and the allowances of Rs 3 & 2 were granted to the representatives of the office-holders. On the death of Rama Kharavan Parvatkar, who was drawing the allowance of Rs 3, the amount is reported to have come under savings.

2 The reasons assigned for the grant of this allowance to the Prasadhigar Nabobs are:-

1) first we got no increase at the last revision,

2) first he, as next to the Peshkar, has got very heavy and important duties to attend to;

3) that he is quite competent for the office,

4) that he has no other source of income like the Prasadhigar of the Nagnanath Temple;

5) and that he is a large family man.

3. The proposed increase does not involve any additional cost and the charge is proposed to be met out of the savings pointed out above. The Dharmadarsis of the several officers support the prayer and the A.C. recommends sanction

4. Submitted for orders as to whether the proposal may be sanctioned. Difficulties may

31/[illegible]/25 7/9/25

NOTE—Contd Sheet

App. F. [11—2]

WD

File No. of 192 .

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

[App. F. 111—2

and Revenue
ecretariat

File No 95—25 of 192

Serial No

5. Draft

2.9.25

Rec'd

6. 1st member 14/9 11.9.25.

367
15.9.25

7. What pay to the Parpathegars of other Vaishnava Temples — Melkote, Nanjangud—Seringapatam &c.

21.9.25.

ST
21/9

Subd

8. The pay of the Parpathegar of the Nanjangud Temple is Rs 12 and that of the Seringapatam Temple is Rs 14, as found in the scales of expenditure of the institution. There are two parpathegars at present in the Melkote Sri Narayanaswamy Temple and their pay appears to be below Rs 10 each. The scale is being revised and the matter is pending with the Mys: Govt.

24/9/25 25-9-25

25.9.25.

705
28.9

(2nd member)

The pay & Rs 2/- per mensem
read — per mensem to the present parpathegars
9 What Seema has to means
Parpathegar per se in this place
10 of the two read a stamp

12.10.25.

Note—Cover Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD

File No. of 192 .

General and Secretariat

Serial No.		

281 4

eral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 65 of 1925

Serial No.

long service, in addn 2 Rds 3 p.m. proposal may be sanctioned as personal to him subject to modifying the sanctions scale permanently

9/10

C 12/10

If the Govt's views concerning the two points for their information and the date of service in which the Manigarar of the temple - draft reply for approval is submitted for approval

2nd
6/10/25

18/10
12 June
19.10.25

2 To
Revs Comms No 459 of 22.10.25
Calls for info as to the length of service of the Parupatyar

Revs 27/10 3 From the Mag: Coimb., Letter no. C 178 of 25-26 dt 15.2.26
States that Subba Rao was entertained as Parupattegar in the temple in March 22 & has been continuing as such since then?
13 Refer Member's Minutes in paras 9 & 10.
The Parupattegar Subba Rao is referred

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No

to have been appointed as Parupattegar in the Temple in March 22, which is about 4 years back. It will be seen from the record that he acted as Shanbhag for about 15 yrs previously. The Temple Dharmadarsis & the local officers strongly recommend the small promotion to him. ~~In this~~ The M.C. also supports the recommendation. Draft order previously put up is added & resubd for approval.

23/2/26 Rev. Secy 24/2

23/2/26 1st Member 25/2 26/2

731/26

15) The papers relating to the removal of the Seven of this temple in 1915 may be put up for perusal

1/3 L M

16) Subd with this file of papers connected with the forfeiture of 1919 (F & O 231-33, 584-18 dt 17.7

9/3 L26 I Member 9/3

352/40.3

17) The charges and misappropriations which led to the forfeiture of Parupattegarship & Dharmadarsiship of this Seven & the reasons for the restoration of the Dharmadarsiship to the Parupattegar & to restore him as a proper person

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-25

File No. 65 (1923) of 192 5.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

for raising the Parliamentary pay
18) Attn Com Sec to be considered on the
own merits. It is observed that
the Parliamentary arrangements and
Railway are not following from the Rly.
and it is not understood why a higher
scale of pay should be given to the
Parliamentary or Chamarajnagar. The
Rly may be asked to obtain
a full report from the DC with
proper recommendations in the matter
at the earliest

29/3

1/4 30/3

19) Draft sent for approval.
Sec'y 30/3 31.3.26 Rev. Secy 1/4 30/3

20) May issue -
this need have been
sent some. 1/4

To. Mysore Commr No 12781 dy 6.4.26 4
States that the ground
for the increase of pay in question
is not a proper one & calls for some
further info in the matter

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

4 7

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

Land Revenue Secretariat

Jaffna File No 65 - 25 of 192

Serial No

To the Mng Comr. No C 178 of 1925-26.
of the 25. 5. 1926.

Reporting that the pay of the Parpathegar of the Sri Sri Kandeswaran Swamy temple at Nanjangud is ~~not~~ now Rs 15/- and requesting that the pay of the Parpathegar of the Chaunay Eswara Swamy temple, Chunnayanagar, might, as already recommended be raised to Rs 15.

2) The temple of Chunnayanagar is a quieter resort in which the work of the Parpathegar is not so onerous as that of the Parpathegar of the Sri Sri Kandeswaran Swami temple who gets only Rs 15 + that since 1-Dec 1925. The former has rendered ~~only~~ a service of only a little above 4 years as such, and there seems to be no justification for ~~any~~ enhancement of his pay just yet.

Sub Forwarded.

BG 22/6/26 The work of the Par- is the Chunnayanagar temple — is certainly lighter & less onerous than that of the Parpathegar of the Nanjangud Sri Sri Temple. As noted above, there seem to be no justification for the enhancement of the Chunnayanagar Temple Parpathegar's pay. For orders

Ref:- Member's minute

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No. 6

To. mur Commr & Comptt
No 1654-5 & 25.6.26.
Sanctions the addition of Rs 3/- p.m. proposed as personal to the pay of the present pensioner without modifying the sanctioned scale permanently.
No 1656 & 25.6.26. Copy to Engineer

99724

No 178 q 25-6. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

are re enclosed

Dated Bangalore, 28th August 1925.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 31 AUG 1925 MUZRAI D. No. 281

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTION. Br. Muz To 29. AUG. 1925

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.C. 94/25-26 dated the 11th instant received from the Deputy Commissioner , Mysore District recommending an increment of Rs.3/- to the Parupathegar of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar and to state that it is seen from the scale of expenditure sanctioned in Government Order No.231-33/Muz.585-18-2 dated the 17th July 1919 that as stated by the Deputy Commissioner the Parupathegar was not given any promotion then.

As the Dharmadarsis are agreeable to the proposal ----- the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer are in favour of the -- grant of the increment, the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner to increase the Parpategar's pay to Rs.15/- may be sanctioned the extra cost being met as suggested from the savings of Rs.3/- caused by the death of Parvathamma one of the recipients of the -- allowance allotted to the representative of the Archak, the post of which was abolished.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's -- letter referred to above are herewith submitted for perusal and -- kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram
Muzrai Commissioner

KSP.

R. S VI—G/90)

//

Letter No.C.94/25-26 dated 10/11th August 1925 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In submitting herewith the correspondence ending with the -- Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's Yadi No.C.C.974 of 1924-25 dated 31-7-1925, I have the honor to state as follows:--

The Government in their No.231-33/Muz.585-18-2 dated 17th -- July 1918 sanctioned a revised scale of income and expenditure in the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar and directed - therein that the posts of 2 Archaks be abolished and further ordered that the allowance of Rs.3/- and Rs.2/- to the representatives of the said offices be continued to them during their life time only and that after their death the provision should lapse.

On the application of Subbaraya, Parpathegar of the temple, stating that Lakshamma one of the receivers of the allowance of Rs.3 is dead; that he has been discharging his duties satisfactorily and praying that the said allowance of Rs.3/- now brought under -- savings may be ordered to be added on to his pay and his pay -- raised to Rs.15/-, (al plus 3) as his case was not considered during

ing

during the revision, both the Amildar, Chamarajanagar Taluk, and the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, recommend that the sum of Rs.3/- now brought under savings may be ~~xx~~ added on to the pay of the parpathegar raising the pay of the post to Rs.15/-.

The Dharmadarsis of the temple were consulted in the matter and they are agreeable to the recommendation of the Amildar and the Sub - Division Officer.

I agree in the view with the Sub Division Officer and recommend that the allowance of Rs.3/- may be added on to the present pay of -- Subbarava, Parpathegar of the temple."

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner.

PSR.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... .. ..

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to 13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 22-10-25

Signed by

Section Genl

File No. 65-25

Serial No 2

No. 459 Enquiries

To

enquire

over.

22/10/25

14



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

34675

No. C 178 of 26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th February 1926.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.459/Muz.65-25-2 dated 22nd October 1925 requesting further information re the total period of temple service put in by Subba Row Parpathegar of the Chamarajeswara temple at Chamarajanagar, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner reports in his letter No.C.94 of 25-26 dated 28th January 1926 that Subba Row was entertained in March 1922 as Parpathegar in the aforesaid temple and that he has been continuing in the said post since then.

The vernacular papers ~~are~~ received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are herewith resubmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Section Revenue

File No. 65-25

Seria No. 4

No. 127

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To The Revenue Commr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no C 178 of 1925 & dt the 15-2-1926, ~~in which~~ recommending the grant of an increase of pay of Rs 3/- to the Parpathegaran of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple at Chamarajapura I am directed to state that ~~it has been pointed out~~ the chief ground on which the increase of the Parpathegaran has been proposed is ~~that~~ his pay @ based on the saving of Rs 3/- which has accrued by the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

17

death of one of the recipients of the Archak's allowance, and this, Govt do not consider, ~~it~~ to be a proper ground to justify ~~this proposal to raise the~~ the raising of the pay of the Parpath as proposed. ~~His~~ case has to be considered on its own merits.

2. In this connection I am to observe that the Parpathegars at Nanjungud and Melkote are not getting more than Rs 12/- as pay and it is not clear why a higher scale of pay should be granted to the Parpathegar at Channarayapa. ~~It is further~~ 2) I am therefore to request you ~~upon a reference, may be~~ that a further report may be obtained from ~~called for from~~ the Deputy Commr Hassan, with reference to the above points and submitted to Govt for orders.

The vernacular papers received with this letter under acknowledgement are returned herewith.

I have &c

31/3 Secretary to Govt

No. C/1787/2526 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner for
Enclosed mobile Northern Districts, Haifa, 26

49196

From

C. S. [illegible] Haifa,

To

C. [illegible] Jerusalem,

Subject

Sir,

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
28 MAY 1926
MUZRAI
1420

GENL. d
Br. Muzrai
27 MAY 1926
G. D. S[illegible]

65-25-5

[illegible]

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
For Commissioner
(Absent from the Station)

letter No.C.14/PF-26 dt. 8/10th ... from ... Commissioner, Mysore District to the Mysore Government ...

"With reference to your letter No.C.170 of PF-26 dt. ... April 1926 calling for ... information ... grant of an increased pay of Rs.6/- to ... Chamarajanagar and Temple of Chamundi, ... state that the peripatetic ... to ... Shimoga ... temple inspection ... from 6 a.m., to 12 noon ... 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. ... day, but this ... the part ... does not ... office, ... of other ... annual accounts.

The pay of the ... at Tirumakudal has been raised from Rs.12/- to Rs.15/- ... Government order No.755-4/Mus.139-25-2 dated 29-12-25 and communicated to this office in your R.Dis.No.90 of PF-26 dated 21-1-26.

In these circumstances I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the increase of pay as already recommended.

G.R.S. VI G 34

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1420 / 903 / 231

Section. Mis
File No. 65-7
Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mis)

, the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 25/6/26

Signed by.....

Read—

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, & English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon—No.

22

[illegible] pay of Rs 12/- per mensem.

[illegible] next to the Peshkar, [illegible] responsible, [illegible] to attend to, [illegible] has put in a service of about 4 years as Parupattegar and [illegible] any increase was not given

[illegible] pay of the 1st garrison, the Asst. Force Commandt recommends that he may be allowed an increase of Rs 3/- to his pay, the same being met from the savings of Rs 3/- effected by the death of Parvatamma, one of the recipients of the allowances granted to the representative of the Arcot, the cost of which was abolished at the last session - The Pharmadarsis of the Inspr Genl are agreeable to the proposal -

under the circumstances, I would recommend the grant of the additional Rs 3/- proposed to be granted to the present Parupattegar, without modifying the sanctd scale permanently.

List of enclosures.

14/2 Examined by

Secretary, 25/2

Rec: Exam

Asst Commy Genl

Commr for Ex Affrs

Secy to the Govt

WD 1883—GI E—1,000,00—21 12 21

15626 19

[illegible] 1926